

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/380,739		12/15/1999	Josef Otto Rettenmaier	017309/0172	3016
23416	7590	10/29/2002			
		E LODGE & H	EXAMINER		
1220 N MAR P O BOX 220		TREET	DOUYON, LORNA M		
WILMINGTO		19899			
	,	.,,,,,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1751	26
				DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					53	
		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Comments	09/380,739		RETTENMAIER ET AL.		
C	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Lorna M. Douyor		1751		
<i>The</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO perioc - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'. ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of the thing of the third of the t	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min will apply and will expire s, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 06 /	<u> August 2002</u> .				
2a)∐ Thi	is action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.			
clo	ce this application is in condition for allowa sed in accordance with the practice under				e merits is	
Disposition o						
·	m(s) <u>1-17 and 19-27</u> is/are pending in the					
	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consider	ation.			
5)∐ Claiı 6)⊠ Claiı	m(s)is/are allowed. I - 1, II - I1 , I1 - 22 and 24 - 27 m(s) 1-17 and 19-27 is/are rejected.					
7) 🔀 Clai	m(s) <u>/0,23</u> is/are objected to.					
8)∏ Clai⊪ Application P	m(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.			
_	specification is objected to by the Examine	ır				
	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		ed to by the Ever	miner		
	plicant may not request that any objection to th		•			
<u></u>	proposed drawing correction filed on	-, .	•		er	
	pproved, corrected drawings are required in re	_ , , ,		Tod by the Examin	σι.	
	path or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
_	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	SUSC § 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
	l b) Some * c) None of:	· p	3	, (=) 5. (.).		
1.	,	s have been rece	ived			
2.				on No.		
3.		rity documents ha reau (PCT Rule 1	ive been receive 7.2(a)).	ed in this National	Stage	
14)∐ Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(∈	e) (to a provisional	application).	
_a) 🔲 :	The translation of the foreign language pro owledgment is made of a claim for domest	visional application	on has been rec	eived.	,	
\ttachment(s)	-		30			
) Notice of R	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	4) 5) 3. 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PT		
Patent and Trademar O-326 (Rev. 04-0		ction Summary	·	Part of	Paper No. 26	

Application/Control Number: 09/380,739

Art Unit: 1751

- 1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on August 6, 2002.
- 2. The cancellation of claim 18 is acknowledged. Claims 1-17, 19-27 are pending.
- 3. The objection to the disclosure is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment.
- 4. The rejection of claims 16-27 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment.
- 5. The rejection of claims 2, 15, 19, 23 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment.
- 6. The rejection of claims 16-17, 21 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boeck et al. (DE 2321693) is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment.
- 7. The rejection of claims 16-17, 21 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 62197497 is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment.

Art Unit: 1751

- 8. The rejection of claims 1-7, 11-17, 19-21 and 24-27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fry et al. (US Patent No. 5,360,567), hereinafter "Fry" is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment.
- 9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 10. Claims 12, 21, 23 and 25 are objected to because of the following informalities:

 In claim 12, line 3 "from" should read as "form".

 In claims 21 and 23, "wt% by weight" is redundant.

 In claim 25, line 2, "of" before "cellulose" should be deleted.
- 11. Claims 1-9, 11-17, 19-22, 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wixon (US Patent No. 4,230,590) in view of Fry.

Appropriate correction is required.

Wixon teaches a heavy duty detergent composition comprising by weight from about 9 to 40% of water soluble, non-soap, organic surfactant, from about 10 to 60% of water soluble, neutral to alkaline builder salt, from about 2 to 20% water soluble or dispersible fatty acid soapcellulose ether mixture preferably in spaghetti-like or other shaped, discrete form, the soap being at least above about 50% of the soap-cellulose ether mixture, and from about 2 to 20% of cationic

Application/Control Number: 09/380,739 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

softener, wherein the soap cellulose ether mixture is substantially homogeneously dispersed in said composition preferably as discrete particles (see col. 2, line 48 to col. 3, line 4). Wixon also teaches that the soap is used with a minor quantity of cellulose ether i.e. no more than 45% of the latter and preferably about 5-10% based on the total soap-cellulose ether admixture for incorporation into the final detergent composition (see col. 5, lines 35-41). The soap and cellulose ether may first mixed in the desired amounts to form a substantially homogeneous mass which can be worked, according to well known technique, until it is sufficiently "doughy" or plastic to be in suitable form, preferably, extrusion or other process e.g. pelleting, granulation, stamping, pressing and roll milling to form shapes such as spaghetti or noodles, flakes, tablets, pellets, ribbons, threads and the like (see col. 5, lines 54-68). With the mixing of the soap and the minor quantity of the cellulose ether, the cellulose ether would have been substantially coated by the soap. The spaghetti should have an average length from about 2 to 20 mm and an average diameter or width from about 0.2 to 2.0 mm and a bulk density from about 0.2 to 0.8 g/cc (see col. 6, lines 9-20). Wixon also teaches additional optional ingredients like water soluble and/or dispersible hydrophobic colloidal cellulosic soil suspending agent which may be desired in addition to that included in the soap-cellulose ether mixture in amounts up to about 4% based on total detergent composition (see col. 9, lines 18-28). Wixon, however, fails to disclose (a) a heavy duty detergent composition in a detergent compact or tablet form and (2) the cellulose being thermo-mechanical pulp or chemo-thermo-mechanical pulp.

Application/Control Number: 09/380,739 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

Fry teaches a similar heavy duty detergent composition in tablet form wherein the tablet form offers several advantages over powdered products in that the tablet does not require measuring and are thus easier to handle and dispense into the washload, and that the tablet is more compact, hence facilitating more economical storage (see col. 1, lines 13-19; col. 6, lines 56-61). Fry also teaches the equivalency of celluloses and cellulose derivatives (see col. 5, line 68 to col. 6, line 5).

With respect to difference (1), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare the heavy duty detergent composition of Wixon in tablet form because the tablet form offers several advantages over powdered products in that the tablet does not require measuring and are thus easier to handle and dispense into the washload, and that the tablet is more compact, hence facilitating more economical storage as taught by Fry.

With respect to difference (2), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected thermo-mechanical pulp or chemothermo-mechanical pulp as the specific cellulose because Fry specifically disclose the binder/disintegrant to be celluloses or cellulose derivatives and said pulps are specific cellulose derivatives.

Application/Control Number: 09/380,739

Page 6

Art Unit: 1751

12. Claims 10 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants'

disclosure. The references are considered cumulative to or less material than those discussed

above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 14. should be directed to Lorna M. Douyon whose telephone number is (703) 305-3773. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for this Technology

Center is:

(703) 872-9311 - for Official After Final faxes

(703) 872-9310- for all other Official faxes.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

Lorna M. Douyon

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1751

October 24, 2002